

ALBEMARLE COUNTY 2022 YEAR END CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY REPORT

Community Development Department
Information Services Division
401 McIntire Road
Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596
(434) 296-5832

INDEX

- I. Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units (Table I & Chart A)
- II. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units by Type (Tables II, III, & IV)
- III. Comparison of All Building Permits (Table V)

KEY TO TYPES OF HOUSING REFERRED TO IN REPORT

SF	Single-Family (includes modular)
SFA	Single-Family Attached
SF/TH	Single-Family Townhouse
SFC	Single-Family Condominium
DUP	Duplex
MF	Multi-Family
MHC	Mobile Home in the County (not in an existing park)
AA	Accessory Apartment


During 2022, 541 certificates of occupancy were issued for 779 dwelling units. There were nine -9- permits issued for a mobile home in an existing park, at an exchange rate of \$2,500/unit, for a total of -\$22,500-. There were no certificates of occupancy issued for the conversion of an apartment to a condominium.

I. Comparison of Residential Dwelling Units

Table I. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area

Quarter	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020		2021		2022		2022 Totals
	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	Dev	Rural	
1st Quarter	38	20	57	30	56	22	92	37	187	31	102	19	165	24	184	23	107	22	129
2nd Quarter	72	28	102	41	71	33	110	22	119	44	118	29	349	29	110	26	185	23	208
3rd Quarter	77	20	69	26	89	30	117	16	228	34	161	35	152	31	88	27	184	36	220
4th Quarter	70	50	58	25	93	23	164	35	188	39	171	30	371	22	467	35	191	31	222
COMP PLAN AREA TOTALS	257	118	286	122	309	108	483	110	722	148	552	113	1037	106	849	111	667	112	779
YEAR TO DATE TOTALS	375		408		417		593		870		665		1143		960		779		

Chart A. Nine Year Comparison of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Development Area and Rural Area



* Figures in this report may differ from quarterly reports due to updated information received after preparation of the quarterly reports

Year End 2022

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE

Table II. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Magisterial District and Dwelling Unit Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
RIO	39	0	38	0	0	189	0	3	269	35%
JACK JOUETT	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	1%
RIVANNA	67	0	24	0	0	102	0	0	193	25%
SAMUEL MILLER	32	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	34	4%
SCOTTSVILLE	45	2	52	0	0	24	0	2	125	16%
WHITE HALL	109	0	39	0	0	0	0	4	152	20%
TOTAL	297	2	153	0	0	315	3	9	779	100%

Table III. Breakdown of New Residential Dwelling Units by Comprehensive Plan Area and Dwelling Unit Type

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AREA	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL UNITS	% TOTAL UNITS
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA		
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 1	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	15	2%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 2	7	0	23	0	0	0	0	3	33	4%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 3	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 4	0	0	52	0	0	24	0	2	78	10%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN NEIGHBORHOOD 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
URBAN AREAS SUBTOTAL	18	2	90	0	0	24	0	5	139	18%
CROZET COMMUNITY	76	0	39	0	0	0	0	2	117	15%
HOLLYMEAD COMMUNITY	31	0	24	0	0	291	0	0	346	44%
PINEY MOUNTAIN COMMUNITY	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	4%
COMMUNITIES SUBTOTAL	138	0	63	0	0	291	0	2	494	63%
RIVANNA VILLAGE	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	4%
VILLAGE SUBTOTAL	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	4%
TOWN OF SCOTTSVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
TOWN SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
DEVELOPMENT AREA SUBTOTAL	190	2	153	0	0	315	0	7	667	86%
RURAL AREA 1	25	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	27	3%
RURAL AREA 2	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	3%
RURAL AREA 3	39	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	41	5%
RURAL AREA 4	19	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	20	3%
RURAL AREA SUBTOTAL	107	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	112	14%
TOTAL	297	2	153	0	0	315	3	9	779	100%

Year End 2022

II. COMPARISON OF RESIDENTIAL DWELLING UNITS BY TYPE (continued)

Table IV. Breakdown of Residential Dwelling Units by Elementary School District and Dwelling Unit Type

SCHOOL DISTRICT	DWELLING UNIT TYPE								TOTAL	% TOTAL
	SF	SFA	SF/TH	SFC	DUP	MF	MHC	AA	UNITS	UNITS
Agnor-Hurt	6	0	38	0	0	0	0	3	47	6%
Baker Butler	67	0	24	0	0	189	0	1	281	36%
Broadus Wood	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	2%
Brownsville	66	0	14	0	0	0	1	2	83	11%
Crozet	35	0	25	0	0	0	0	1	61	8%
Greer	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0%
Hollymead	0	0	0	0	0	102	0	0	102	13%
Meriwether Lewis	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1%
Mountain View	1	2	52	0	0	24	0	2	81	10%
Murray	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Red Hill	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	2%
Scottsville	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1%
Stone Robinson	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51	7%
Stony Point	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2%
Woodbrook	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
TOTAL	297	2	153	0	0	315	3	9	779	100%

III. COMPARISON OF ALL BUILDING PERMITS

Table V. Estimated Cost of Construction by Magisterial District and Construction Type

MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT	*NEW RESIDENTIAL		*NEW NON-RES & ALTER. RES		* NEW COMMERCIAL & NEW		FARM BUILDING & ALTER.		TOTAL	
	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$	No.	Amount-\$
RIO	87	29,946,643	1	158,534	6	8,081,237	4	1,368,972	98	39,555,386
JOUETT	6	7,990,480	1	950,000	1	10,000	4	1,883,798	12	10,834,278
RIVANNA	99	56,152,239	0	0	3	11,922,718	7	1,168,751	109	69,243,708
SAMUEL MILLER	34	27,288,055	1	100,000	0	0	2	85,000	37	27,473,055
SCOTTSVILLE	103	29,915,911	9	97,000	3	2,863,030	0	0	115	32,875,941
WHITE HALL	152	60,790,417	1	190,000	5	2,728,255	12	85,500	170	63,794,172
TOTAL	481	212,083,745	13	1,495,534	18	25,605,240	29	4,592,021	541	243,776,540

* Additional value of mobile homes placed in existing parks is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of Single-Family Condominium Conversions is included in the Alteration Residential category.

* Additional value of condominium shell buildings is included in the New Non-Residential category. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of condominium shell buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.

** Additional value of mixed use buildings is included in the New Commercial category. Mixed use buildings are comprised of residential and commercial uses. Additional permitting associated with the residential component of mixed use buildings will be necessary and reported in other tables of the Building Report as permitting occurs.