

**Albemarle CPMT
Charlottesville CPMT
Joint Committee Meeting Minutes
Thursday
June 1, 2023**

Present: Sue Moffett, Ryan Davidson, Tammy Johnston, Misty Graves, Katie Ralston, Jennifer Wells, Mary Stebbins, Erin Callas, Christa Galleo, Rachel Rasnake, Neta Davis, Kevin Kirst

Absent: Asheley Marshall, Andre Key

Quorum for Albemarle: Yes
Quorum for Charlottesville: Yes

Call to Order:

Misty Graves, Charlottesville Chair called the meeting to order at 9:08 A.M.

Agenda Item: Review & Approval of the Agenda/ Acceptance of Consent Agenda including Minutes, Financial Reports including Foster Care Expense Reports & FAPT approved expenses.

Presenter: Chair

Discussion/Summary: Misty asks for motion of approval of the consent agenda for Charlottesville and Albemarle to include the financial reports and April minutes. Misty asks for any questions regarding foster care and FAPT approved expenses. No questions.

Next Steps/Action(s): Mary Stebbins makes a motion to approve for the county, Erin Callas seconds. Motion approved. Misty asks for motion of approval from the city. Sue Moffett makes motion to approve for the city, Erin Callas seconds. Motion approved.

Agenda Item: Action Item – Provider Revision - Albemarle

Presenter: Chair

Discussion/Summary: Jennifer advised this is a provider revision from Liberty Point. It is the same revisions as requested last year. The County Attorney has reviewed and approved the revisions.

Next Steps/Action(s) taken: Mary Stebbins makes motion to approve for the County. Erin Callas seconds. Motion approved.

Agenda Item: Action Item – FY24 CPMT Chairs for Albemarle & Charlottesville

Presenter: Chair

Discussion/Summary: Both localities need to nominate and vote on CPMT chairs for FY24. Mary and Misty are willing to continue unless the next person up on the rotation wants to do it. Members support Mary and Misty continuing as chairs.

Next Steps/Action(s) Taken: Ryan Davidson makes motion to approve for the county. Tammy Johnston seconds. Motion approved. Sue Moffett makes motion to approve for the city. Neta Davis seconds. Motion approved.

Agenda Item- FAPT Update

Presenter: CSA Coordinators

Discussion/Summary: There are five new EBPs available for Title IV-E funding for DSS, which now includes HFW. County FAPT continues to see material support requests and increasing cases from Region Ten, including parental placements. Katie mentioned the 5th Monday/Friday joint meeting that FAPT used to conduct is being re-initiated to begin a discussion about the justification needs for material support and any other issues. Years ago, this was a way for the two FAPT teams to convene and discuss any issues that they are both experiencing.

Next Steps/Action(s) Taken: Informational

Agenda Item- CSA Coordinator Update

Presenter: CSA Coordinators

Discussion/Summary: Jennifer is asking CPMT for their input with regards to background checks on providers and what to do when something comes back on a background check. The County Attorney's office recommended that CPMT adopt an official policy with regards to background checks. The County's policy was recommended as a template to use. The County Attorney offered to review any policy before CPMT implements one. Neta asked if we have to follow the barrier crimes since providers are working with children. Kevin asked what type of background check is needed (i.e., how deep of a background check do they need to complete?). How do we define who is a provider/vendor? Rachel advised that when the city schools hire, they are not allowed to be left alone with children until the background checks are returned. Neta mentioned that it is hard because you may move forward only to not be able to use someone. Katie mentioned that the city is not running these checks on licensed vendors, only non-licensed. Misty would like to create a joint policy. Katie mentioned it is still needed for non-licensed vendors. CPMT should consider meshing different policies that will work for this type of need. Kevin suggested that we reach out to Fairfax to see how they do this and perhaps scale it to our locality. Neta sees this as a hinderance to potential grassroots providers that we might want to use.

CLOSED SESSION: Misty Graves as Chair asked for a motion authorizing the closed meeting stating: I move that the Charlottesville and Albemarle Community Policy and Management Team go into a closed meeting pursuant to sections 2.2-3711 and 2.2-5210 of the Code of Virginia to discuss the background check of a potential CSA provider. Sue Moffett made motion to go into closed session, Tammy Johnston seconded. All members are in agreement – we are in closed session.

A motion was made to move from closed session back to public meeting. Misty Graves stated: I move that the Charlottesville and Albemarle Community Policy and Management Team certify by a recorded vote that to the best of each team member's knowledge, only public business matters lawfully exempt from the open meeting requirements of the Virginia Freedom of information act and identified in the motion authorizing the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting. The secretary took a recorded vote of each member. Misty asked for a motion to go back into open meeting. The motion to move from closed meeting to public

meeting was made by Christa Galleo and seconded by Ryan Davidson. Recorded vote was taken by the secretary as follows:

Charlottesville CPMT

Erin Callas - yes
Misty Graves - yes
Sue Moffett - yes
Rachel Rasnake - yes
Neta Davis - yes
Christa Galleo - yes

Albemarle CPMT

Ryan Davidson - yes
Erin Callas- yes
Christa Galleo - yes
Kevin Kirst - yes
Mary Stebbins - yes
Neta Davis – yes

Katie mentioned that city FAPT is getting more truancy cases coming. The majority of services requested is for mentoring.

Next Steps/Action(s) Taken: CSA Coordinators will reach out to other localities to see if they have a policy with regards to background checks for providers. City and County DSS, schools, city and county local government, and Region Ten will share their background check policy. The updated barrier crimes list will be reviewed once it passes the General Assembly.

Agenda Item- Program Sub Committee Update

Presenter: CSA Coordinators

Discussion/Summary: Program meets next week so there is no update for this meeting. CPMT members are encouraged to attend the CSA vendor fair happening today at Carver Rec Center.

Next Steps/Action(s) Taken: Informational

Agenda Item: OCS Communications

Presenter: CSA Coordinators

Discussion/Summary: None

Next Steps/Action(s) Taken: N/A

Agenda Item: Discussion and Engagement- Review of Work Plan

Presenter: All

Discussion/Summary: Parent rep update – Misty advised City Council must be asked if a parent rep on CPMT could be paid and get their approval. The second meeting in June is the next available date to make this request. Misty is prepared to take this to City Council. Members agreed that \$75 a meeting would be acceptable, with the understanding that it is for attendance at the meeting only. She asked what is the status with the County. Mary advised she had not gotten a response back from Emily Kilroy yet but sent a follow up email. Ryan mentioned that he thinks it is in Code which positions

can be paid as the Board of Supervisors was recently discussing this issue regarding increasing the funding for those who are already paid.

Next Steps/Action(s): Misty will take parent rep stipend request to City Council. Mary will follow up with Emily and provide update.

Agenda Item: Discussion and Engagement- Legislative Updates

Presenter: All

Discussion/Summary: None

Next Steps/Action(s): N/A

Agenda Item: Discussion and Engagement- DJJ Truancy Update

Presenter: All

Discussion/Summary: Christa reported for April both County and City each had 2 truancy cases diverted and 0 petitions filed.

Next Steps/Action(s): Informational

Agenda Item: Razed/Raised Discussion

Presenter: All

Discussion/Summary: Misty led the discussion for CPMT regarding the documentary "Razed/Raised" with the format of What? So what? Now what? CPMT members shared their take aways included stereotypes which led to urban renewal, that the land sat for a long time with a promise of selling it back, relocation payments promised but never received, how many of those affected who are still in the community and active, promises of the city but not delivering, trust becomes harder to build, how it got the name of Vinegar Hill and an appreciation for that area and the vibrancy that the area had, a loss of the sense of community, understanding there is a reason for the anger. Recognizing that there is the history of what happened but even there is still things that are going on and trying to be addressed. CPMT, a group of primarily white members, developing policies that will affect people of color. Even the schools are trying to still work out things that are impacted today from the past. There is a sense of pride to those who lived in Vinegar Hill, along with the pain and loss. The sense of community from back then to where things are now. The displacement likely fed the sense of isolation. Recognizing that the cinderblocks were intentional. It is referred to as Hardy Drive, even though the name is Westhaven. Now what? If you work with city residents, this documentary can be so valuable to understanding the present. It can be a good onboarding tool to help give perspective for workers. A lot of city leadership is not from this community and may not have an appreciation for the city's history and the impact it has had on the community. There is value in hiring people from Charlottesville, who have that historical perspective or to keep this information in front of everyone. Impact work as a system or you as an individual- there are so many people that need to see this but the whole discussion that is needed. What people know or think they know and challenging assumptions. So many conversations about the housing crisis and the voluminous amounts of parking spaces seem to contradict, impact of home ownership and economic prosperity, poverty impact affecting so many agencies. There is an imbalance when considering the businesses that were lost and are now parking lots. Those decisions that were made back then that are still impacting generations later. Being mindful of bias. Seeing the impact of generational wealth as a result of the one family maintaining their business. It felt like the housing slum wasn't really the reason

and seeing the disparity that exists now. Watching the city struggle with how to acknowledge and make reparations. Needing the honesty of what really happened and how to make reparations in understanding the impact it has had. Reinforces our need to have parent reps on this group and how we make decisions and racial equity. We need to have a format to engage the parent reps within this group. Being intentional with getting their participation. Maybe we need to flip the order of our agenda and have the more routine things at the end. We can try next month to see if that works better, so the start of the meeting doesn't feel so formal. Do we need to ask ourselves did our discussion include a lens around DEI, perhaps set questions and use it to close out the meeting. Rachel will share with CSA Coordinators.

Next Steps/Action(s): Informational

Misty Graves, Chair for Charlottesville CPMT, adjourned the meeting at: 10:42 A.M.

Next scheduled meeting: July 6, 2023, at 9:00-11:00 A.M. in person meeting will be located at 5th Street County Office Building in Room 231 within Social Services area.

Respectfully Submitted:
Jennifer Wells for Tayler Ellis